(Contains C M 1-52-27.)

App F IX 4

195 52-53 (1 C M)

File No 78-52

Previous References
C M 2-52-3, 4

Serial Nos 1, 2

Later References
CM 1-53-82, 83,

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos 2

Part B for DEC 52

SUBJECT

Grant of Cumshaw allowance to the four Appeal officers for hearing Taxation Appeals incurred during the general revision of assessment

WD 0142a—GPB—25 000—1 9 1952

L. 15988/A 18-12-52

The Comptroller Bilove (Mayor)

(P 20/11)

Rejects

AE 24/3

G R S APP F III—1]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

( )

NOTES

C R File No 1-52 27

FILE HEADING

Remuneration to appellate officers for hearing Taxation appeals

FROM The Commissioner Corporation of the city of Bhe

No B 12-5-17 Dated 18. 8. 52 Received

Diary No 3786	Enclos	Spare copies	Serial No

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER

Forwards Resolution dated 28-7-52 of the Corpn on the Subject.

NOTES AND ORDERS

Flag "G"

Collation C-R 2-52 (Sls 374) Sanctioning a lumpsum Remuneration of Rs 250/ each to the four officers of the Corporation to hear appeals from the property Tax Revision appeals to put up

2) The Commr now forwards Resolution dated 28-7-52 of the Corporation to enhance the Remuneration to the above four officers from Rs 250/ to Rs 500/ (Lumpsum) for the entire work of disposing of the appeals and to Continue the,

NOTES — First Sheet

WD 31b470—GPB—5,000—24-9 51

File No of 195 | General and Revenue Secretariat

present Special Revenue Staff for one month i.e during July '52 and Requests Sanction thereto

3) The Reason for proposing this enhanced Remuneration is that Seen to be that the about 8000 Taxation appeals were Received within the time prescribed which were disposed Equally between the four officers at 2000 each to whom the work overtime need Complete, within about 2 months. This time limit was subsequently Relaxed and people also having Come to know that Some Relief was being afforded on Future appeals, more number of appeals began to pour in and it is expected that there would in all be about 16,000 appeals to be decided As the question of work has thus actually doubled, and that of a Senior Asst. Commr has been appointed for this work as was originally proposed he would have taken at least an year to Complete the work then an appreciably higher Expenditure would have been incurred on him and his Staff, the Corporation has proposed the higher Remuneration to be given him in all fairness

4) The proposal of the Corporation for enhancement of the Remuneration to

Remuneration to appellate officers for hear appeals — 3 — 3786/Mis

these officers from Rs 250/ to Rs 500/ and to Continue the Spl Pay officers for one months during July 1952 as for orders on Consultation with the Finance Department

MGS 16/9/52

BBV 17/9

5) The office note which was placed before the Corporation by the Comr may kindly be perused On the basis this note the Corporation have reserved that there will be a saving of Rs 22,780/- by adopting the suggestion of giving Rs 500/- to each of the officers of the Corporation In this connection it is to be stated that the note has misled the Corporation The Comr presumes that the Senior Asstt Comr if appointed will take one year to complete the work This cannot be accepted A whole time officer may be able to finish the work within 3 months Thus there would have been saving further saving was possible to achieve if an Assistant Comr on pay of Rs 350 or Rs 400/- p.m. had been appointed, instead of a Senior Assistant Comr This aspect has not been considered

Secondly the Comm has stated that if a Senior Asst Comr had been appointed 8 Special Revenue Officers would take 12 months to complete the work whereas these very 8 Special R Offrs would take only 3 months (!) under the Corporation Officers. This logic is neither reasonable nor acceptable

6) Thirdly, it is stated that 8 Bill Collectors under a Senior Asst Comr would take 12 months whereas they would take only 3 months under the Revenue officers This also cannot be accepted

7) In fact the very entrusting of this heavy work to the 4 officers of the Corpn has made them more to neglect their legitimate work and this has naturally affected the general efficiency of the administration

8) Hardly 2 months are over after Communication of the sanction of Govt to the payment of Rs 250/- to each of the R Offrs

a Recommendation has come to the payment of Rs 500 to each of them. The GO is dated 17-7-52 and the next Resolution to enhance the payment is dated 28-7-1952

9) Therefore even now it is not late to consider the question of appointment of an Assistant Comr exclusively for this work with strict instructions to complete the work within a period of 3 months. Meanwhile it is better

A to discuss personally with the Comr Rs the no of appeals pending by the Rev Officers up to the end of 15-9-1952 and the no of appeals disposed off up to that date. This will give an idea whether the Rev officers are prompt in their work

B 10) The cost by appointing an Assistant Comr on Rs 1100/- Pm or 350 Pm + 50 Duty Allowance + 75 Conveyance allowance will be Rs 350+50+75 = Rs 475 or in round figures Rs 500/Pm. Two years of the Comr may be spared for this purpose. This will mean extra cost of Rs 1500/- only but there will be efficient work

The proposal at A and B may kindly be considered

Secy (Rev Dept) 1819

Remuneration to appellate officers for hearing appeals

6

4) The proposal of the Corporation is a very good example of the way in which things are managed by them. Certain assumptions are made on the representations of the subordinate staff, the support of two members is canvassed, and a proposal on the strength of a resolution is sent up. The entire assumption is without foundation as it postulates more efficiency on the part of Revenue Inspectors than on the part of a Senior Asst Engineer. However why only a Senior Asst Engineer is thought of and not an Asst Engineer is also unintelligible. A report on points raised at A. & B. will be called for if app.

Yes
20/9

Asst Secy (I/C of P.H.)

19/9/52
19/9

(2) 20/9/52

22/9/52

OFFICE OF THE MINISTER FOR PUBLIC HEALTH & LOCAL SELF GOVERNMENT
20 SEP 52
3827

(3) Draft Letter to the Corporation B'lore Corpn to be sent up for approval

Asec
23/9/52
SO
23/9

(4) Issued
25/9

To the commissioner
Corpn of the city of B'lore
(thro the Mayor)
L 10765/dated 7-10-52
calls for certain information

Reminder sent 8/10

SUO II
8/10
SO

Remuneration to appellate officers for hearing appeals 3486/md V64441/md.

15) A A O reminder is put up for approval

TRUE
14/10
15/10/52

16) Issue

To
The commissioner
Corpn of the city of Bangalore
A O No. L1900/ dated 23.10.52
Reminds

Sub A
24/10

17) A O reminder is put up for approval.

14/11
14/11/52

18) Issue
17/11

No L 11900 Dated 23.10.52
Received the Receipt.

From
The Receiver, Corporation of Bangalore
No B 12-517/52-53 Dated 21.11.52
Requisitions the confirmation called for

19) The Receiver's Report is for perusal. It is stated that 13051 appeals were received and all of these disposed of already by the four appellate officers. This arrangement of delegating the powers of hearing appeals regarding assessment of property tax to the 4 officers of the corpn has also been approved in G.O No. L. 4895 H&L dt 6/12 of 2-52-4 dated 17.7.52 and payment of a lumpsum remuneration of Rs 250/- each has also been sanctioned for this additional work

6(4)(i)(d)

Remuneration to appellate officers for hearing taxation appeals.

has also been sanctioned on the same order. The Commr reports that the officers have overstrained themselves and done the work very satisfactorily

20) One Asstt Commr of the District would have taken at least 12 months to dispose of such a large number of appeals since the very nature of work requires filing of replies in the respective divisions and circulating the same to the Commr of Taxes. The staff could not have possibly devoted entire completion of the work the extra cost was for the Commr would have been considerably more than ~~the~~ has been met from the pay of a Senior Asstt Commr for calculation the cost is reasonable on the basis that there was originally one post of Appellate ~~Secretary~~ one officer of this cadre on Rs 501 P.M and Rs 751 P.M ~~of~~ It is further argued that delay in disposing the work to one officer, the Revised assessment would not have been given effect to from the current financial year on which case the corporation would have stood to lose about Rs 8 16 0146/- ?

circulating each case is much delay

21) Since above three officers took up the work and the remuneration of Rs 250/ each was recommended there were only 6000 appeals

6444/ml

Remuneration to appellate officers for hearing taxation appeals

9.

and the granting an extension of time for filing these appeals this memorandum relates to 1305, which is more than double the original figure, the Corporation in its Resolution dated 28.7.82 has recommended enhancement of the remuneration to each from Rs 250/- to Rs 500/

22) Since the matter is reported to have already been completed there is no question of appointing an Asst Assessor. The report of the Assessor also indicates that the Corporation has no objection to an Asst Assessor doing the work presently on more satisfactorily

23) The proposal of the Corporation for enhancement of the remuneration to these officers from Rs 250/- to Rs 500/ is for orders - Ref paras 9, 10 & 11 supra

Rep 27/11/82

24) There are no sufficient reasons for paying more than what has already been ordered. These officers have done work during office hours or for one or two hours more than the office hours. They have been paid already

SDO 26/11/82

6464/IIa

Remuneration to appellate officers for hearing taxation appeals

Rs 250/- each Hence the question of paying more may be dropped

30/11

Secy (LSG)

25) The remuneration already fixed must be considered to be commensurate for the extra work done by the officers It is not correct, on principle, to pay lumpsum remuneration for extra work done The correct thing would have been to pay some extra allowance per month But there is no need now to enhance the lumpsum remuneration The proposal of the Corporation need not be approved

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT LSG DEPARTMENT 1 DEC 1952

FINANCIAL SECRETARY GOVERNMENT 8 DEC 1952 U O No 8884

Minr LSG
to
Secy

26) 8/12/52

27) 11/12/52

SECRETARIAT GOVERNMENT 531 11 DEC 1952 LSG DEPARTMENT

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT 9 DEC 1952 LSG DEPARTMENT

OFFICE OF THE MINISTER FOR PUBLIC HEALTH & LOCAL SELF GOVERNMENT 11 DEC 52 No 5705

28) Draft Letter to the Corpn. Secy. Corpn to put up for approval

12/12/52

Issued 16/12

6441 11

Remuneration to appellate officers for hearing Taxation Appeals

The Commissioner Corpn Bilore
(Chm Mayor)

L 15988 /EM 78-52-2 dated 18-12-52

Rejects the recommendation

29/12 Staff 26/12

4

NOTE TO THE STANDING COMMITTEE (TAXATION & FINANCE)

Re Remuneration to the Appeal Officers engaged in hearing Taxation appeals

About 8000 Taxation appeals had been received in time and these were divided amongst four Appeal Officers and it was expected that these four officers could sit daily in the mornings and finish the work in about 2 months

Since the time limit of ~~30 days~~ to prefer appeals after receipt of notice has been relaxed and the appeal officers directed to receive applications even after the due date and especially after the people have come to know that the Corporation is giving some relief on appeal, fresh applications are daily pouring in and already five thousand more appeals have been received making the total 13 thousand, and there is a likelihood of another 3000 appeals to be preferred The original proposal to entertain a Senior Assistant Commissioner in grade Rs 500-50-600 with a small establishment could have taken at least one year to complete the work of hearing about 16 thousand appeals and due to delay in the disposal of appeals, the Corporation would have lost the benefit of revision of taxes amounting to about 7½ lakhs of rupees during the year 1952-53 and bills could have been issued only in the year 1953-54

The cost of establishment at Rs 700/- P.M for 12 months would have amounted to Rs 8,400/- besides continuing the services of 8 Special Revenue Officers at Rs 150/- plus Rs 30/- each and 8 Bill Collectors at Rs 40/- plus 7-8-0 for one year would come to Rs 21,840/- The total cost would have been Rs 30,240/

This idea was given up and instead 4 Appeal Officers have been appointed as per resolution of the Corporation dated 16th April 1952 and these 4 officers have taken up the work and are disposing of the appeals daily

The remuneration fixed for them being a lumpsum of Rs 250/- each is very little compared to the strenuous work they are called upon to do and especially in view of the fact that the number of appeals received is almost doubled and that the work also has doubled and may take a longer time i e about one month more.

The details of the comparative cost for entertaining one Senior Assistant Commissioner with establishment and 4 Appeal Officers with establishment is given below

Abstract

Cost of one officer (Senior Asst. Commissioner on 500-50-600 plus 2 peons) for 12 months	700 x 12 = 8,400	1) Cost of 4 Appeal Officers @ Rs 500 x 4	2,000
Cost of 8 Special Revenue Officers on Rs 150/- plus 30 for 12 months.	1,440 x 12 = 17,280	2) Cost of 8 Spl. Revenue Officers for 3 months	4,320
Cost of 8 Bill Collectors at Rs 40 plus 7-8-0 for 12 months	580 x 12 = 4,560	3) Cost of 8 Bill Collectors for 3 months	1,140
	Rs 30,240		Rs. 7,460

It is therefore found to be reasonable to increase the remuneration of each Appeal Officer from Rs 250/- to Rs.500/- for their work for about 3 months and for disposing of about 4,000 appeals each, and to continue the present Special Revenue staff for one month i e , during July 1952

This is placed before the S.C (Taxation & Finance).

(Sd). N.S/ HIRANNAYYA

Copy

R/2718

CORPORATION OF THE CITY OF BANGALORE

3786

No Bis 517 -/52

CORPORATION OFFICES,
BANGALORE

Dated 18th August, 195 2

FROM

THE COMMISSIONER, C-R 1-52 27
CORPORATION OF BANGALORE,
BANGALORE

To

The Secretary to Government,
Local Self-Government Department,
Bangalore

SIR,

Subject - Grant of Lumpsum allowance to the four Appellate Officers for hearing Taxation Appeals and continuance of the special Revenue Officers appointed for revision of assessment work

Sr MHO

Adverting to Government Order No L 4895 6/C-M 2-52-4 dated 15th July 1952 approving the payment of a lumpsum remuneration of Rs 250/- to ~~x~~ each of the four officers, hearing appeals in connection with the revision of assessment and continuing the 8 Special Revenue Officers for a further period of two months from 1-5-1952, I write to state that the Standing Committee (Taxation & Finance) and the Corporation at their meetings held on 27th May, 1952 and 28th July, 1952 respectively, have resolved that the lumpsum remuneration to these four officers be increased from

Rs.250/- to Rs 500/-, and that the Special Revenue Staff, sanctioned in G O No L 2919/C M.2-51-19 dated 8th June, 1951 be continued for a further period of one month from 1st July, 1952, so that all the pending appeals may be disposed of. In these circumstances, I request you to obtain sanction of Government to the resolution of the Corporation at an early date in the circumstances explained in the note, a copy of which is enclosed herewith Copies of the resolutions of the Standing Committee (Taxation & Finance) and the Corporation are enclosed herewith

Forwarded with compliments,

(B V Lankappa)
Mayor

Yours faithfully,

(B M Abu Baker),
Commissioner

19/8/52

PROCEEDINGS OF THE ADJOURNED MEETING OF THE CORPORATION OF THE CITY OF BANGALORE, HELD AT 4 P M ON MONDAY THE 28TH JULY 1952, AT THE MAYO HALL, BANGALORE

-.- -.

Subject No 17 of June agenda

Recommendation of the Standing Committee (Taxation & Finance) dated 27th May 1952 that the remuneration of the four Special Revenue Officers be increased from Rs 250/- to Rs.500/- each for the entire work of disposing of the appeals against the general revision of assessment in about three months, thereby effecting savings (about Rs 22,780/-) to the Corporation as detailed in the Office Note and to continue the prese special Revenue Staff for one month, i e , during July 1952.

Sri C Rajagopalachar moved the following resolution -

"Resolved that the recommendation of the Standing Committee (Taxation & Finance) dated 27th May 1952, that the remuneration of the four special Appeal Officers be increased from Rs.250/- to Rs.500/- each for the entire work of disposing of the appeals against the general revision of assessment in about three months, thereby effecting savings (about Rs 22,780/-) to the Corporation as detailed in the Office Note and to continue the present Special Revenue Staff for one month i e during July 1952 be approved

Sri A V K Ram seconded the resolution and it was passed

Sd/- B V Lankappa,
Mayor

" COPY "

Rs.250/- to Rs 500/-, and that the Special Revenue Staff, sanctioned in G.O No L 2919/C M 2-51-19 dated 8th June, 1951 be continued for a further period of one month from 1st July, 1952, so that all the pending appeals may be disposed of. In these circumstances, I request you to obtain sanction of Government to the resolution of the Corporation at an early date in the circumstances explained in the note, a copy of which is enclosed herewith. Copies of the resolutions of the Standing Committee (Taxation & Finance) and the Corporation are enclosed herewith

Forwarded with compliments,

B V Lankappa),
Mayor

Yours faithfully,

(B M Abu Baker),
Commissioner

19/8/52

3

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MEETING OF THE STANDING COMMITTEE (TAXATION & FINANCE) HELD ON THE 27TH MAY, 1952

Additional Subjects

1. Office note dated 21-5-1952 recommending to increase the remuneration of the 4 special Appeal Officers from Rs 250/- to Rs 500/- each for the entire work of disposing the appeals against the general revision of assessment in about 6 months, thereby effecting savings (about Rs 22,780/-) to the Corporation as detailed in the Office Note

Resolution - Recommended that the remuneration to the four special Appeal Officers be increased from Rs 250/- to Rs 500/- each for the entire work of disposing the appeals and to continue the present special Revenue staff for 1 month more, i e during July 1952

Sd/- V K Govindarajulu,
Chairman,
S C (Taxation & Finance)

" COPY "

for COMMISSIONER.
11/8/52

4

NOTE TO THE STANDING COMMITTEE (TAXATION & FINANCE)

Re. Remuneration to the appeal Officers engaged in hearing Taxation appeals

About 8000 Taxation appeals had been received in time and these were divided amongst four appeal Officers and it was expected that these four officers could sit daily in the mornings and finish the work in about 2 months

Since the time limit of 30 days to prefer appeals after receipt of notice has been relaxed and the appeal officers directed to receive applications even after the due date and especially after the people have come to know that the Corporation is giving some relief on appeal, fresh applications are daily pouring in and already five thousand more appeals have been received making the total 15 thousand, and there is a likelihood of another 3000 appeals to be preferred The original proposal to entertain a Senior Assistant Commissioner in grade Rs 500-50-600 with a small establishment would have taken at least one year to complete the work of hearing about 16 thousand appeals and due to delay in the disposal of appeals, the Corporation would have lost the benefit of revision of taxes amounting to about 7½ lakhs of rupees during the year 1952-53 and bills could have been issued only in the year 1953-54

The cost of establishment at Rs 700/- P.M for 12 months would have amounted to Rs 8,400/- besides continuing the services of 8 Special Revenue Officers at Rs 150/- plus Rs 90/- each and 8 Bill Collectors at Rs 40/- plus 7-8-0 for one year would come to Rs.21,840/- The total cost would have been Rs 30.240/

This idea was given up and instead 4 appeal Officers have been appointed as per resolution of the Corporation dated 16th April 1952 and these 4 officers have taken up the work and are disposing of the appeals daily

The remuneration fixed for them being a lumpsum of Rs 250/- each is very little compared to the strenuous work they are called upon to do and especially in view of the fact that the number of appeals received is almost doubled and that the work also has doubled and may take a longer time i e about one month more

The details of the comparative cost for entertaining one Senior Assistant Commissioner with establishment and 4 Appeal Officers with establishment is given below

Abstract

Cost of one officer (Senior Asst. Commissioner on 500-50-600 plus 2 peons) for 12 months	700 x 12 = 8,400	1 Cost of 4 appeal Officers @ Rs 500 x 4	2,000
Cost of 8 Special Revenue Officers on Rs 150/- plus 90 for 12 months.	1,440 x 12 = 17,280	2 Cost of 8 Spl. Revenue Officers for 3 months	4,320
Cost of 8 Bill Collectors at Rs.40 plus 7-8-0 for 12 months	580 x 12 = 4,560	3. Cost of 8 Bill Collectors for 3 months.	1.140
	Rs. 30,240		Rs 7,460

It is therefore found to be reasonable to increase the remuneration of each appeal Officer from Rs 250/- to Rs 500/- for their work for about 3 months and for disposing of about 4,000 appeals each, and to continue the present Special Revenue staff for one month i e , during July 1952

This is placed before the S C (Taxation & Finance).

"Copy" N. Sanjeeviah.

(Sd). N. HIRANMAYYA.

No. L 10765
7-10-52

To

The Commissioner
Corporation of the city
of Bangalore
Through the Mayor

Subject: Grant of lumpsum allowance to the four appellate officers for hearing Taxation appeals and continuance of the Special Tax officers appointed for revision of assessment work

Sir

With reference to your letter No. B.12 S 17/52 dated 18.8.52 on the above subject I am directed to request you to intimate the number of appeals pending as on appellate by each of these officers upto the end of 15.9.52 the number of appeals disposed of and the number still pending.

The cost of appointing one Asst. Commr. for the hearing of these appeals are Rs 400/- P.M. or Rs 350/- P.M. + 50 D.A. + 75 C.A. would be about Rs 475/- or 475/- two

powers of the Corporation may be pleased for the work. This means an extra expenditure of Rs 1500/- only & we should be able to complete the work in about three months at Rs 500/- a month as stated figures will the added advantage of the work being done more efficiently. You may consider this suggestion also and offer your remarks

A very early reply is requested.

Yours sincerely

Sd/-

Sh S. G. Vaze.

Rec 22/9/52

The letter does not mention the details of the existing plinth from memory

Sd/-

Rec 26/9/52

6

L 10765 7 Sept. 52

From

The Secretary to Government,
L S G Department

To

The Commissioner,
Corporation of the City of Bangalore,
(through the Mayor)

Sir,

Subject - Grant of lumpsum allowance to the four appellate Officers for hearing Taxation appeals and continuance of the Special Revenue Officers appointed for revision of assessment work.

With reference to your letter No R 12 5 17/52 dated the 18th August 1952, on the above subject, I am directed to request you to intimate the number of appeals received by each of these appellate officers upto the end of 15th September 1952, the number of appeals disposed of and the number still pending.

2 The cost of appointing an Assistant Commissioner for the hearing of these appeals on Rs 400/- per mensem or Rs.450/- per mensem plus 50 D A plus 75/- C A would be about Rs.525/- or Rs.475/- per mensem Two peons of the Corporation may be spared for the work This means an extra e penditure of Rs 1,500/- only at Rs.500/- a month in round figures, as he would be able to complete the work in about three months with the added advantage of the work being done more efficiently. You may consider this suggestion also and offer your remarks.

3. A very early reply is requested

Yours faithfully,

(V.Hanumanthappa)
for Secretary to Government,
L.S.G Department

LNS.26/9.

CMD 8-52-1

CORPORATION OF THE CITY OF BANGALORE

No B12-517/52-53

CORPORATION OFFICES,
BANGALORE

Dated 18th November 1952

FROM K. HENJERAPPA, ESQ, BA, BL,
COMMISSIONER,
CORPORATION OF THE CITY OF BANGALORE,
BANGALORE

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Local Self Government Department,
Bangalore

Sir MAS

SIR,

SUBJECT Grant of lumpsum allowance to the four Appeal Officers for hearing Taxation Appeals received during the general revision of assessment

****

Adverting to your letter No L.10765 dated 7-10-1952 on the above subject, I wish to state that 13,051 appeals were received and disposed of by the 4 Appeal Officers and there is now no appeal pending.

The question of appointing an Assistant Commissioner on Rs.400/- or Rs.350/- p m does not arise now, since the work has been completed long back

Originally there was an idea to appoint one senior Assistant Commissioner on Rs 500-50-600 with a D A of Rs.50/- and C A of Rs.75/- p m for a period of 4 months This idea was given up since it was thought that it was practically impossible for any one officer to dispose of a huge number of appeals within a period of 4 months From the present experience, I am sure he would have taken at least 12 months to dispose of the 13051 appeals Besides the 8 Special Revenue Officers, 8 Bill Collectors, 4 Clerks and peons should have been continued until the appeals were disposed of and that would have entailed a very heavy cost At present the Special staff was simultaneously engaged by the 4 Appeal Officers and the work was completed earlier and that the special staff has been disbanded Another point for consideration is that if one officer was placed as Appeal Officer he would have taken a very long time to dispose of the appeals and by this delay

the Corporation would have lost the benefit of revision of taxes to the tune of Rs.8,16,046/- since bills could not have been issued and the revised taxes would have been given effect to only from 1953-54

The resolution of the Corporation dated 16-4-1952 to appoint four appeal officers instead of one Assistant Commissioner and to pay a lumpsum amount of Rs.250/- to each of the appeal officer has already been sanctioned by Government in their order No L 4895-6/C M 2-52-4 dated 17th July 1952 and the present question is only to enhance this lumpsum amount from Rs.250/- to Rs.500/- to each of the 4 Appeal Officers

In the beginning when these appeal officers took up the work there were about 6000 appeals and their remuneration was fixed at Rs.250/- each But now actually 13051 appeals have been received heard and disposed of by them Their work has been more than doubled They have also worked hard both in the mornings and evenings and on all holidays, sitting in the respective divisions while hearing the appeals and after spot inspections in a good number of contested cases They have done their job very satisfactorily The Corporation at their meeting held on 28-7-1952 have therefore resolved that the lumpsum allowance payable to each of them be enhanced from Rs 250/- to Rs.500/-

The present arrangement has resulted in a large savings to the Corporation and that the work turned out by them has been more than doubled I request you kindly to obtain sanction of Government for the payment of the enhanced remuneration of Rs.250/- to each of the 4 Appeal Officers early

Forwarded with compliments

(B V LANKAPPA,)
MAYOR

Yours faithfully,

(K HENJERAPPA,)
COMMISSIONER

TNL/-

15988-M 78-52-2

18 December 1952

The Commissioner,
Corporation of the City of Bangalore,
(through the Mayor)

Subject - Grant of lumpsum allowance to the four Appeal Officers for hearing Taxation Appeals received during the general revision of assessment.

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter No B 12-517/52-53 dated 18/21-11-52, on the above subject, I am directed to state that the recommendation of the Corporation to enhance the lumpsum remuneration to the Appeal Officers from Rs.250/- to Rs 500/- is not acceptable to Government

Yours faithfully,

(V Hanumanthappa)
for Secretary to Government,
L S G Department

LNS.2.15/12.

9

No: L 15988 /CH 78-522

Dt 18/12/52

To

The Commissioner
Corporation of the city of Bijapur
(Through the Mayor)

Subject. Grant of lumpsum allowance to the four appellate appeal officers for hearing Taxation Appeals received during the general Revision of assessment

Sir,

With reference to the Correspondence ending with your letter No B 12-517/52-53 dated 18/12-11-52 on the above subject I am directed to state that the Recommendation of the Corporation to enhance the lumpsum Remuneration to the appeal officers from Rs 250/- to Rs 500/- is not acceptable to Govt

Yours faithfully
Sd/-
for S.S.G Secy

Received on 12/12

Sd/- 15/12/52

Sd/- 12/12/52 for S.S.G Secy

Notes — 11

comp — 9